1	DAVID L. ANDERSON (CABN 149604) United States Attorney		
2	HALLIE HOFFMAN (CABN 210020) Criminal, Division Chief		
3	ANDREW M. SCOBLE (CABN 124940) RAVI T. NARAYAN (IABN AT0011948) Assistant United States Attorneys		
5			
6	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-7249/7369 Fax: (415) 436-7234 Email: andrew.scoble@usdoj.gov Email: ravi.narayan@usdoj.gov		
7			
8			
9	Attorneys for United States of America		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13)		
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No.: CR 18-0119 RS		
15	Plaintiff, (Carlon AND ORDER CONTINUING		
16) STIPULATION AND ORDER CONTINUING v.) MOTION AND STATUS HEARING AND		
17	JONATHAN AGUILAR, et al. Defendants.) ADJUSTING BRIEFING SCHEDULE)		
18	Defendants.		
19			
20	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED that,		
21	For the reasons set forth below, the parties request: (1) a continuance of the briefing schedule for		
22	Round 2 motions; (2) a continuance of the current hearing date for Round 2 motions and the next status		
23	conference, from July 28, 2020 to September 29, 2020; and (3) an exclusion of time under the Speedy		
24	Trial Act from July 28, 2020 (the date though which Speedy Trial Act exclusions have currently been		
25	ordered) through September 29, 2020.		
26	On March 9, 2020, and April 17, 2020, various defendants filed motions for discovery related to		
27	the Round 1 motions schedule. (Dkt. 251-253, 264-267). On May 22, 2020, the government filed		
28	responses to those motions. (Dkt. 280, 281). Various defendants have since filed replies and joinders to ORDER [CR 18-0119 1 RS]		

those replies. (Dkt. 285-288). These motions are fully briefed and were previously set for hearing before the Honorable Joseph C. Spero on June 22, 2020. (Dkt. 276). However, pursuant to General Orders 72-3, 73, and subsequent direction from the Court, in-person court appearances are currently limited to ten or fewer individuals in the courtroom. Here, as many as eight defendants wish to be personally present for pretrial motions hearings. Accordingly, the parties submitted a stipulation and proposed order to Judge Spero moving the hearing date for Round 1 motions to July 28, 2020. (Dkt. 293). On June 8, 2020, Judge Spero granted the request. (Dkt. 294).

The parties now request that this Court continue the Round 2 motions schedule so that briefing falls due after the date of the Round 1 motions hearing. The parties are currently scheduled to brief Round 2 motions in June and July, with a motion hearing and status date before this Court on July 28, 2020. The parties now respectfully request to continue the briefing and hearing schedule to August and September, to be heard on September 29, 2020. The parties also seek a corresponding Speedy Trial Act exclusion through September 29, 2020. The grounds for the requested continuance and exclusion are as follows.

The parties continue to meet and confer informally by telephone on various discovery issues, in an effort to explore resolutions without calling on the Court's intervention. For instance, pursuant to a request in late 2019 by defense counsel, the government has been gathering and producing on a rolling basis various law enforcement reports and materials for alleged 19th Street and 16th Street gang members. Moreover, after informal consultation with various defense counsel, the government has started gathering materials related to follow-up requests made by the defense, based in part on reports previously produced in discovery and in part on anticipated expert witness testimony.

On June 1, 2020, the government provided preliminary notice of expert witnesses, as well as a response for notice of Bruton materials. The government is preparing a supplemental production of more than 600 pages of materials that will bear on anticipated expert witness testimony (including Firearms and Toolmarks and fingerprint identification). Further, the government is in the process of gathering and preparing for discovery production similar materials pertaining to autopsies of deceased victims and medical treatment of surviving victims, in connection with the various incidents charged in the pending indictment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The government has also assembled a follow-up request to SFPD to obtain the complete case files for a number of incidents implicated by the charges in the indictment as well as other alleged crimes that may become relevant in the presentation of enterprise evidence (i.e., a broader group of incidents than those directly charged in the indictment). Such discovery will be implicated by Rule 404(b) and "enterprise proof" notice, but the government is trying to assemble and produce such materials as early as possible in this case. Unfortunately, the pandemic and shelter-in-place protocols have hindered the government from obtaining the case files, many of which will apparently require access to closed file storage. In short, the parties are making a good-faith effort to keep the case moving forward, while trying to resolve as many pretrial issues informally as they can, in order to narrow and refine the list of issues that they feel must be heard and determined by the Court.

Based on the above, the parties believe it is appropriate to continue the second round briefing schedule to the following dates:

Defense Filing Date: August 25, 2020
Government Oppositions: September 15, 2020

Defense Replies: September 22, 2020

Motion Hearing Date: September 29, 2020, at 10:00 a.m.

The parties also agree that, in light of the above, the ends of justice served by granting a continuance through and including September 29, 2020, outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The parties also agree that this case is so complex, due to the number of defendants and the nature of the prosecution, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings and for the trial itself within the otherwise-applicable time limits, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)7)(B)(ii).

```
23
```

//

24 ||

25 ||

1	The parties further agree that failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defense		
2	counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due		
3	diligence, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).		
4	Dated: June 9, 2020	DAVID L. ANDERSON	
5		United States Attorney	
6		By: /s/	
7		ANDREW M. SCOBLE RAVI T. NARAYAN	
8		Assistant United States Attorneys	
9	Dated: June 9, 2020	/s/	
10		MARTHA BOERSCH Counsel for Jonathan Aguilar	
11	Dated: June 9, 2020	/s/ BRIAN H. GETZ	
12		Counsel for Luis Cid-Salinas	
13	Dated: June 9, 2020	/s/ JULIA MEZHINSKY JAYNE	
14		Counsel for Juan Carlos Gallardo	
15	Dated: June 9, 2020	/s/ HARRIS BRUCE TABACK	
16		Counsel for Orlando Carlos Hernandez	
17	Dated: June 9, 2020	/s/ MARK GOLDROSEN	
18		PAM HERZIG DONALD KNIGHT	
19		AMY P. KNIGHT Counsel for Michael Rebolledo	
20		Counsel for whenaer Reportedo	
21	Dated: June 9, 2020	GEORGE C. BOISSEAU	
22		Counsel for Mario Reyes	
23	Dated: June 9, 2020	SHAFFY MOEEL	
24		JAMES S. THOMSON Counsel for Eddy Urbina	
25		Counsel for Eddy Offilia	
26	Dated: June 9, 2020	/ _S / ETHAN A. BALOGH	
27		Counsel for Weston Venegas	
28			
	ORDER [CR 18-0119	4	

RS]

ORDER 1 2 THE COURT ORDERS THAT the previous briefing schedule for the second round of motions is 3 continued as follows: Defense Filing Date: August 25, 2020 4 Government Oppositions: September 15, 2020 5 Defense Replies: September 22, 2020 6 7 Motion Hearing Date: September 29, 2020, at 10:00 a.m. 8 THE COURT ORDERS THAT a status hearing is also set for September 29, 2020, at 10:00 a.m. 9 THE COURT FINDS THAT the ends of justice served by granting a continuance from July 28, 2020, through and including September 29, 2020, outweigh the best interest of the public and the 10 11 defendants in a speedy trial, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). THE COURT FURTHER FINDS THAT this case is so complex, due to the number of 12 13 defendants and the nature of the prosecution, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings and for the trial itself within the otherwise-applicable time limits, within the 14 15 meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)7)(B)(ii). THE COURT FURTHER FINDS THAT, to allow time for the parties to address ongoing 16 discovery issues which, in turn, will affect pretrial motions, failure to grant the continuance would 17 18 unreasonably deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). 19 20 Accordingly, THE COURT ORDERS THAT, the period from July 28, 2020 through and including September 29, 2020 (the date of the next hearing in this case) is excluded from the otherwise 21 applicable Speedy Trial Act computation, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(ii) & (B)(iv). 22 23 IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: June 9, 2020 24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 25 26 27

28